2008-2009 SES EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME: Boys & Girls Clubs of Michigan City

DISTRICTS SERVED: Michigan City Area Schools

OF STUDENTS SERVED*: 73 (English/Language Arts); 73 (Math)

*DEFINED AS ATTENDING AT LEAST ONE SES SESSION

2008-2009 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: A+

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

SERVICE DELIVERY: B+

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS: B-

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 12%

Overall score: 3.6 out of 4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting: 100%

Overall score: 4.0 out of 4.0

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 0%

Overall Score: n/a

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: A+

SERVICE DELIVERY

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	12%
Overall score:	3.6 out of 4.0
DISTRICT REPORT:	
% of districts reporting:	100%
Overall score:	100%
PRINCIPAL REPORT:	
% of principals reporting:	0%
Overall score:	n/a
ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:	2.3 out of 4.0
Go to (http://mustang.doe.in.gov/dg/ses/Evaluations-onsite-080 2008-2009	9.cfm) to view the Onsite Monitoring Report from
SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:	B+
ACADEMIC EFFE	CTIVENESS
COMPLETION RATE:	82% (English/Language Arts) 82% (Math)
TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:	Woodcock-Johnson
% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS ON PROVIDER ASSESSMENT:	92% (English/Language Arts) 97% (Math)
% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS: (Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at least one session)	86% (English/Language Arts) 86% (Math)

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

Category	BGC Michigan City (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	BGC Michigan City (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
# of students	11	2869	12	2823
% showing improvement on ISTEP+**	55%	50%	42%	49%

^{*}Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions and have ISTEP+ scores for both years.

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS				
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing improvement	change in passing %*
SES			40%	10.0%
Not SES	10	91%	90%	20.0%

MATHEMATICS				
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing improvement	change in passing %*
SES			42%	0.0%
Not SES	12	100%	50%	0.0%

^{*}Change in passing percentage compares the two groups passing percentages from Fall 2008 to Spring 2009

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages).

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS	GRADE:	В-
------------------------	--------	----

OVERALL GRADE: B+

^{**}Improvement on ISTEP+ is defined as, for students who did not pass ISTEP+ in Fall 2008, getting closer to the ISTEP+ spring 2009 cut score, and for students passing ISTEP+ in Fall 2008, getting further away from the ISTEP+ spring 2009 cut score.